



EUROPEAN JOINT PROGRAMME
FOR THE INTEGRATION OF
RADIATION PROTECTION RESEARCH

CONCERT

Open consultation day

Monika Frenzel
Véronique Briquet-Laugier

November 18th, 2016

AGENCE NATIONALE DE LA RECHERCHE
ANR

1. Confidentiality


- Protection of applicants (submission and evaluation)
- Protection of evaluators (panel and external)

2. Transparency

- Evaluation process
- Funded proposals

3. Information

- Awareness about calls
- When projects are funded: publication of the evaluation panel
- Call analysis and lessons learned



Two call topics:

Topic 1

Improvement of health risk assessment associated with low dose/dose rate radiation

Topic 2

Reducing uncertainties in human and ecosystem radiological risk assessment and management in nuclear emergencies and existing exposure situations, including NORM

Eligibility:

Each consortium submitting a proposal must involve

- at least 3 research partners
- from at least 3 different EURATOM Member States or Associated Countries

1. Excellence of the proposal:

a. Clarity and pertinence of the objectives; b. Credibility of the proposed approach and methodology; c. Soundness of the concept; d. Innovative potential; e. Competence and experience of participating research partners in the field(s) of the proposal

2. Impact of the proposal:

a. Potential of the expected results; b. Added-value of transnational collaboration; c. Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation

a. Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan; b. Complementarity of the participants within the consortium; c. Involvement of young scientists; d. Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including risk and innovation management; e. Concept for sustainability of infrastructures initiated by the project; f. Budget and cost-effectiveness of the project

Each criterion received a score between 0 and 5:

0: fails or missing /incomplete information.

The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.

1: Poor.

The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.

2: Fair.

While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses.

3: Good.

The proposal addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary.

4: Very good:

The proposal addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible.

5: Excellent.

The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.

Aim of the meeting 17th of November 2016

To assure cash-funding for Third Parties

Reminder: Funding mechanism depends on the status of the partner within CONCERT


1. Partners within CONCERT:
Beneficiaries and Linked Third Parties

Co-funding
69% EC

2. Others: **Third Parties**

Cash-funding
Provided by POM

12 proposals submitted




General statistics:

N° of pre-proposals	12
N° of countries	26
N° of partners total	147
N° of institutions	85

In average 12 partners per proposal

Smallest project: 4 partners
Largest project: 32 partners

N° of partners per project





General statistics:


N° of pre-proposals	12
N° of countries	26
N° of partners total	147
N° of institutions	85

In average 12 partners per proposal

Smallest project: 4 partners
Largest project: 32 partners








With the total budget of 10.5 €, the first three projects of the final ranking list can be funded.

This included **one project from Topic 1** and **two projects from Topic 2**

A call is finished when funding of projects starts

- Reporting on the projects being funded
- Report on the evaluation (to EC)
- Lessons learned about the projects submitted and evaluation procedure (PRP members)
- Lessons learned by the ESAB (general procedures)



New Topics (How many?)

Each consortium submitting a proposal must involve

- at least 3 research partners
- from at least 3 different EURATOM Member States or Associated Countries

Project duration advised for around **24 months**



Thank you for your
attention

